

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Sizewell C  
**Date:** 12 October 2021 19:34:24

---

Dear Sir/Madam

We object most strongly to the building of Sizewell C & D on many grounds.

Firstly - the technology is already outdated. The time taken to build Hinkley Point is already behind schedule as is the French station Flamanville. The cost has spiralled and just who are the investors? Why should electricity consumers pay a tax on nuclear, should 'green' suppliers become exempt on behalf of their consumers? And the 'green' issue - it is claimed that nuclear is 'green'. Certainly it is not in the construction phase; in terms of cement & concrete production, the road construction traffic bringing aggregate from the South of the country to East Suffolk. The site is on an eroding coastline.

We know more about costal erosion now than was understood when Sizewell A & B were constructed. However this in itself is questionable; the East Suffolk coastline erosion (Dunwich is well known and confined to history) has been documented for years - Julian Tennyson's 1939 publication 'Suffolk Scene' records the erosion and states: "As a continuous encroachment it is hardly noticeable. For fifty years, perhaps, the North Sea will appear as innocuous as a lily-pond in summer, and the scare of erosion will die away until an irresponsible generation has forgotten it altogether. And then it will come again, suddenly, furiously, with the sea storming up the shore - and there will be nothing to stop it." That irresponsible generation seems to be now.

We also have the legacy of spent nuclear fuel, stored at site (waste remaining radioactive for 100,000 years!) with more to follow if C & D are constructed. Whilst nuclear can be said to be cleaner to the atmosphere than fossil fuel it has its own set of environmental issues, not least the advent of a leak through faulty workmanship or eroding joins (already a factor it appears) or natural disaster such as Fukushima. Given the eroding coastline of East Suffolk this has all the potential of a major disaster alongside A & B. Whilst EDF are taking the rewards from the supply of electricity they are not responsible for the long term decommissioning - why should the taxpayer pick up this cost?

Construction will blight the East Suffolk Coast and surrounding countryside for years. All allowable yet we live in an area given AOB status? Minsmere nature reserve prided for its wetland habitat will be infringed and the wildlife dispersed by the physical groundwork's upheaval. The works site will illuminate the much treasured dark night sky. Bird studies show that birds are greatly affected by light pollution. And it is not just the single construction of Sizewell C & D. How do so many energy projects arrive on the East Coast? Whilst in favour of wind farms, with their cables and plant travel far in land but come ashore next to Sizewell - to name but one of many other energy projects envisaged. You cannot expect this area of East Suffolk to take all these energy projects without destruction of wildlife and the environment not to mention the cost to us the people who live here. Already EDF have caused division within communities over the proposed SLR route. The road traffic that will be generated will blight the area with diesel from

umpteen HGV's and now after EDF's realisation, years after consultation began, that there is no source of building / consumption water available - their solution, the transport of water tankers until a salutation plant is built (again more building work that was not consulted about) as well as presumably water tanks to hold all the road imported water?

The 'early years' for HGV road access will cause the B1122 to be overloaded. The B1122 being a small country road with bends and sharp corners going through villages and outset housing communities. EDF's failure to take into consideration local people's concerns over the use of the B1122 was only registered later in the extended consultation process. The obvious route put to EDF from the outset of consultation was for the new D2 route direct from the A12 to SizewellC, minimalizing inhabited areas. A new road direct to site which would become a legacy route for workers, site support & emergency vehicles. This seems to have been ignored by EDF.

Additionally the smaller roads will become rat runs for traffic wishing to avoid SizewellC site traffic. This is being proved already with Sizewell workers & site support vehicles using the B1125 coming off the A12 at Blythburgh, through Westleton and rejoining the B1122 at Yew Tree corner. This will be exacerbated should the junction proposed by EDF be constructed at Yew Tree Corner connecting the SLR / B1122 & B1125.

Please use your powers appropriately to pay due attention to what is being proposed - and how it will affect ourselves, our children, grandchildren and many generations beyond.

Thank you

Steve Thorpe & Mandy Beaumont - [REDACTED], Leiston Road, Middleton, Saxmundham, Suffolk. [REDACTED]